

Developing Digital Skills for Teaching in HEIs

an experience from Aalto University



Karoliina Pakkanen - karoliina.pakkanen@aalto.fi Suvi Toivonen - suvi.toivonen@aalto.fi Veruscka Xavier Filgueira - veruscka.xavierfilgueira@aalto.fi

This work is licensed under <u>CC BY-NC-SA 4.0</u> 14.10.2024 1 Teacher Services

Workshop agenda

- **14.20** Introduction and orientation to the theme
- **14.50** Introduction to digital learning in Higher Education
- **15.00** Working together: Benchmarking digital pedagogy and competences practices
- 15.50 Workshop ends



Orientation to theme: Digital Learning Statements

Teacher Services

Individually: Answer statements

- 1. Familiarize with digital learning statements in Presemo at presemo.aalto.fi/berlin2024
 - Rate them as
 - Very urgent right now
 - Not important yet, but might be in the future, or
 - Irrelevant
 - You can use the open comment field to elaborate your thoughts or leave questions
 - Answers are anonymous





Share your thoughts

 Which thoughts and impressions came to mind while answering the statements?



Raise your hand





INTRO: Digital Learning & Pedagogy in Higher Education

Teacher Services

University pedagogy in Finland

- Higher Education pedagogy is established, but edtech research has focused on technology rather than on learning theories
- Pedagogical courses support professional development in the universities. Teaching staff is research orientated and develop pedagogical competence along with teaching.

The Finnish Education Evaluation Centre's evaluation of the state and renewal of higher education pedagogy (2023):

 Higher education pedagogy must further strengthen aspects such as digitalization



Finnish perspective: promoting digital pedagogical solutions in higher education teaching and learning (FINEEC evaluation, 2023)



Not there yet:

- No quality criteria for online education*
- No digital solutions as a part of curriculum process
- Only two universities (out of 14) report having digital pedagogical instructions and recommendations

*National quality criteria, first version published 2023 I

Framework for competence development: entangled pedagogy



Aalto-yliopisto Aalto-universitetet Aalto University

Teacher Services

Adapted by Fawns, T. 2022

Small group theme for workshop



Aalto-yliopisto Aalto-universitetet

alto Universitv

 Digital mapping for the pedagogical courses

Entangled pedagogy

Teacher Services

Adapted by Fawns, T. 2022

References and materials available in material bank

To cite this: Pakkanen, K. (2024). Digital learning & pedagogy in higher education. DAAD Workshop 16.10.2024. Berlin.

Digital Learning support at Aalto University

Teacher Services

Digital Learning at Aalto in a nutshell

- Digitalization is a path to sustainable teaching at Aalto
- Digital learning is encouraged in the university strategy but also with concrete technical solutions that make usage easy
- Blended teaching is widely spread at Aalto
- Specialized digital learning support is offered at the University but also at the Faculty (i.e. School) level
- Support services are offered synchronously and/or on-demand (e.g. live consultations and demos, support ticketing system, trainings) and asynchronously (e.g. ticketing system, tutorials, blogs, wiki pages, recordings)



Aalto University's Key numbers



Staff



Aalto University is the 1st higher education institution in Finland to receive **the level 'excellent' from all evaluation areas** in the quality system audit of the Finnish Education Evaluation Centre (FINEEC) in April 2023



Aalto's long-term purpose: We spark the game changers of tomorrow

Focus of development: Future-led learning

Actions:

- Renewing educational offering
- Developing our digital and engaged learning environment,
- Integrating sustainability and multidisciplinary studies into programmes
- Advancing learning-centricity
- Focusing on holistic wellbeing



Teacher Services combines pedagogy with digital and physical learning environments and development.



Δ!

Services

The goal of Digital Learning **Environments unit at Aalto** is to support teaching staff in finding meaningful ways to integrate technology into teaching and learning



Why is digital teaching so widespread at Aalto?



Digital learning services for teachers







The area size represents the estimated difference in usage rates compared to other services.

Teachers' digital competences development

10/14/2024 21 Teacher Services

Key terminology

Digital pedagogical competence refers to the ability to use technology to support teaching and learning in face-to-face, blended and online learning settings.

Educational technology refers to softwares and tools that are used in teaching related tasks and are centrally support by the university, e.g. Learning management systems, interaction and assessment tools

WHY

 The School of Chemical Engineering wanted to strengthen university teachings staffs' digital pedagogical competencies.

GOAL

 Develop the capacity of university teaching staff at using educational technologies in a learner-centered and meaningful way in teaching, learning and working.

METHODS

1. Definition of **localized** digital pedagogical competence 2. Mapping **current** level of skills with a self-assessment survey Hosting workshops for teachers to define together priorities for training 4. Providing
 tailored training and support
 (ongoing)

Results

- Response rate: 40% of teaching staff engaged in project activities
- Impact: Raised awareness on quality digital pedagogy
- Status of competence: Identified current strengths and development areas
- Training measures: Identified teachers' preferred learning methods
- Structural issues: Identified practices hindering technology use
- Current project phase: Offering targeted training and addressing structural issues

Teachers' pedagogical competency areas

in blended, online and hybrid learning environments





Teacher Services

Example competencies





How we utilized the digital pedagogical competency areas?

- We conducted a voluntary self-assessment survey to learn about:
 - 1. What is teachers' perceived level of competence = subjective data on how one perceives their competence level in different areas of digital pedagogy such as course planning, creation of learning material, assessment and interaction.
 - 2. How motivated they are to experiment technology in courses and to learn new things
 - 3. What competencies they would like to develop



Example survey

Creating learning material that meets accessibility standards?

Scale (multiple choice question)

- I can do it well and independently
- I can do it with support
- I can't do it
- Not relevant for me
- I want to learn more about this

Download "Teachers' digital pedagogy competence areas in blended learning" at www.aalto.fi

Digital mapping for the pedagogical courses

10/14/2024 28 Teacher Services



First round: Digital pedagogy as a topic and exploring the use of edtech on course level



Second round: Pedagogical courses – a showcase of **pedagogical choices** Third round: Guidelines for aligned digital pedagogy in curriculum development

I Digital pedagogy as a topic

How edtech could be used to support a) Course work and interaction b) Teaching methods c) Assessment and feedback II Pedagogical choices

How does edtech support the aligned achievement of the intended learning outcomes of the course? III Aligned digital pedagogy in curriculum development

Insights at work: from examples to university guidelines Aalto university's pedagogical courses showcasing digital pedagogy

How does educational technology support the achievement of the learning objectives of the course?



What will the participant learn about educational technology?

Purposeful use of technology in teaching

Results for teachers of pedagogical courses



Workshop: Benchmarking digital pedagogy and competences practices

Cases per table

Teachers' digital competences development



3

Digital learning services

As a group, each table is going to work with one of the thematic cases.

If you are interested in something else than your table theme, you can switch now.

Teacher Services

In your group (10 min)

- 1. The facilitator in your table will brief you into your theme (5 min)
- 2. Split into two groups.
- 3. Introduce yourself to your group members

Name and position/organization

4. Choose who will be the spokesperson for your group

The spokesperson should prepare to present a short summary of your theme and discussion (3min) to the rest of the group.



During the discussion (15 min)

- 1. As a group, read through the poster and ask questions from your facilitator.
- 2. Read the questions in the template. Discuss (either in German or English).

You can choose the questions that interest you the most. Make sure everyone is heard.

3. Write your thoughts on the post-its (in English) - 3 min

If there are conflicting ideas, write both.



Based on your experiences,

Opportunities	Obstacles
Are there ideas or concepts that could be implemented right away ?	What are the specific obstacles or challenges that may hinder the implementation of these new ideas within your organization, if any?
Are there any inspiring ideas for the future?	

Sharing (3 min for each group)

• When presenting your discussion, share the main takeouts of the theme and 1 key point of your group discussions.

Wrap-up

Teacher Services



Want to collaborate?

Don't hesitate to contact us.

See our Material bank with additional resources





Karoliina Pakkanen in karoliina.pakkanen@aalto.fi



Suvi Toivonen in suvi.toivonen@aalto.fi



Veruscka Xavier Filgueira in veruscka.xavierfilgueira@aalto.fi

References

- Alanko-Turunen, M. (2023). Kohti kestäviä korkeakoulupedagogisia tekoälyratkaisuja kartoittava kirjallisuuskatsaus.
 eSignals Research, 4(2).
- Fawns, T. (2022). An Entangled Pedagogy: Looking Beyond the Pedagogy—Technology Dichotomy. Postdigital science and education, 4(3), 711-728. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-022-00302-7
- Jyväskylä University (2021) Digital skills in teaching at Jyväskylä University.
- Karvi (2023) Korkeakoulupedagogiikan tila ja uudistaminen arviointi
- Kivimäki, V., Pesonen, J., Romanoff, J., Remes, H., & Ihantola, P. (2019). Curricular Concept Maps as Structured Learning Diaries: Collecting Data on Self-Regulated Learning and Conceptual Thinking for Learning Analytics Applications. Journal of learning analytics, 6(3), 106–121. <u>https://doi.org/10.18608/jla.2019.63.13</u>
- Punie, Y., editor(s), Redecker, C. (2017) European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators: DigCompEdu.
 EUR 28775 EN, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 10.2760/159770.
- Peura, P. & Cederberg, J. (2017) Dynamic self-assessment (available only in Finnish).
- Varonen, M., & Hohenthal, T. (2020) Quality Criteria for Online Implementations. Verkkototeutuksen laatukriteerit. eAMK -Oppimisen uusi ekosysteemi.





Kiitos aalto.fi